

**CITIZENS' POLITICAL PARTICIPATION AS A TOOL OF
SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT IN DEVELOPING DEMOCRACIES:
THE NIGERIAN EXPERIENCE**

Otubanjo, Taofeek Taiyeola,
otubanjo1@gmail.com

Oderinde Olajide Damilola
oderindeod@tasued.edu.ng
&

Akanji, Oluyemisi Ajibola,
oluyemisiakanji@gmail.com

Department of Sociological Studies
Tai Solarin Federal University of Education, Ijagun, Ogun State.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21908075>

Abstract

Political participation remains a central pillar of democratic governance and a critical driver of sustainable development, particularly within developing democracies such as Nigeria. Despite the emphasis on economic growth, technological advancement, and institutional reforms in development discourse, the role of citizens' political engagement is often underexplored. This paper examines how citizens' political participation contributes to sustainable development in democratic societies. Anchored in Participatory Democracy Theory and the Capability Approach, the study argues that inclusive political engagement enhances institutional accountability, improves policy responsiveness, promotes social inclusion, and supports equitable socio-economic development. Adopting a qualitative analytical approach based on theoretical synthesis and recent empirical literature, the paper conceptualises political participation not only as a democratic right but also as a strategic mechanism for achieving sustainable development outcomes. It further interrogates contemporary challenges limiting effective participation, including political apathy, socio-economic inequality, digital misinformation, and institutional fragility, particularly in developing democracies. The analysis reveals that weak citizen engagement undermines governance effectiveness and slows progress toward sustainable development goals. Conversely, active and inclusive participation strengthens democratic institutions and fosters long-term development. The paper concludes that sustainable development cannot be achieved without deliberate efforts to deepen citizen participation across both formal and informal political spaces. It recommends strengthened civic education, participatory governance reforms, inclusive political representation, adoption of digital engagement platforms, and improved accountability mechanisms to enhance citizens' political participation and development outcomes.

Keywords: Political Participation, Sustainable Development, Democratic Governance, Civic Engagement, Social Inclusion, Accountability, Developing Democracies

Introduction

Sustainable development has emerged as one of the most influential paradigms guiding global development policy and governance reforms in the twenty-first century. Since the adoption of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in 2015, development discourse has shifted from a narrow focus on economic growth to a broader multidimensional framework that integrates social inclusion, environmental sustainability, institutional effectiveness, and democratic governance (United Nations, 2015; Sachs et al., 2023). Central to this framework is the recognition that inclusive institutions and citizen engagement are indispensable for achieving durable development outcomes. Democratic governance, characterized by transparency, accountability, and participation, has thus become a cornerstone of sustainable development strategies. Political participation occupies a central position within democratic governance. It refers to the diverse activities through which citizens seek

to influence public policy, leadership selection, and governance processes. These activities range from conventional forms such as voting, political party membership, and electoral campaigning to non-conventional modes including civic activism, protests, petitions, community organizing, and digital engagement (Dalton, 2017; Theocharis & van Deth, 2018). In democratic societies, political participation represents not merely a procedural requirement but a substantive mechanism for ensuring that governance reflects societal preferences and collective aspirations.

A growing body of scholarship underscores the intrinsic and instrumental value of political participation for development. Intrinsically, political participation affirms democratic rights, enhances political efficacy, and strengthens civic identity (Pateman, 1970; Dryzek, 2020). Instrumentally, it improves governance quality by promoting accountability, reducing corruption, strengthening institutional legitimacy, and enhancing public service delivery (Fox, 2020; Heller, Rao, & Walton, 2020). Empirical studies consistently demonstrate that societies characterized by robust civic engagement tend to exhibit superior governance performance, reduced inequality, and improved socio-economic outcomes (Acemoglu & Robinson, 2019; Fukuyama, 2018). Despite its recognized importance, political participation remains unevenly distributed across societies and social groups. In many developing democracies, structural barriers such as poverty, illiteracy, gender inequality, political distrust, and weak institutional frameworks significantly constrain meaningful citizen engagement (Norris, 2019; UNDP, 2022). These barriers undermine democratic consolidation and weaken development effectiveness, creating persistent cycles of exclusion and underdevelopment. In Sub-Saharan Africa, for example, declining voter turnout, political alienation, and limited civic trust have become increasingly pronounced, weakening accountability mechanisms and eroding institutional legitimacy (Cheeseman, Lynch, & Willis, 2021; Afrobarometer, 2023).

Nigeria provides a compelling illustration of these challenges. Although democratic governance has been formally institutionalized since 1999, political participation remains constrained by electoral irregularities, elite dominance, clientelism, socio-economic inequality, and widespread political disillusionment (Akinola & Adesopo, 2022). Youth disengagement, gender disparities in political representation, and weak civic education systems further exacerbate participation deficits, thereby undermining governance quality and sustainable development prospects. These challenges highlight the urgent need for participatory governance reforms that strengthen citizen engagement and institutional accountability. The emergence of digital technologies has introduced new dynamics into political participation. Social media platforms, online petitions, digital advocacy networks, and e-governance systems have expanded opportunities for citizen engagement, particularly among younger populations (Bennett & Segerberg, 2020; Loader, Vromen, & Xenos, 2019). Digital participation holds significant potential for amplifying marginalized voices, enhancing political mobilization, and improving government responsiveness. However, it also presents complex challenges, including misinformation, political polarization, cyber manipulation, and declining deliberative quality, which complicate democratic governance and development processes (Norris, 2019; Tucker et al., 2020).

Beyond institutional and technological dimensions, political participation plays a critical role in shaping social norms, collective identities, and civic values. Participatory governance fosters social capital, trust, and cooperation, which are essential for collective problem-solving and sustainable development (Putnam, 2020). Societies characterized by high civic engagement demonstrate stronger social cohesion, enhanced resilience, and greater capacity to respond effectively to economic, environmental, and political crises (Sachs et al., 2023). Yet, mainstream development strategies continue to prioritize economic indicators while underestimating the political and civic foundations of sustainable development. This imbalance reflects a technocratic orientation that privileges economic growth and institutional engineering over democratic inclusion and citizen empowerment (Sen, 1999; Fukuyama, 2018). As a result, development interventions often fail to achieve lasting impact, particularly in contexts characterized by weak governance and social exclusion.

Against this backdrop, this position paper advances the argument that citizens' political participation constitutes a fundamental tool of sustainable development in democratic societies. It contends that inclusive political engagement strengthens governance quality, enhances institutional legitimacy, promotes social inclusion, and fosters equitable socio-economic progress. By synthesizing theoretical perspectives, empirical evidence, and policy debates, the paper seeks to deepen

understanding of the participation development nexus and to provide actionable insights for strengthening participatory governance.

Conceptual Clarifications

Political Participation

Political participation refers to voluntary activities undertaken by citizens to influence public decision-making, leadership selection, and policy formulation (Verba, Scholzman, & Brady, 1995; Dalton, 2017). Traditionally, participation was conceptualized narrowly in terms of electoral engagement, such as voting and party affiliation. However, contemporary scholarship adopts a broader understanding that encompasses civic activism, community organizing, protests, petitions, deliberative forums, and digital advocacy (Theodorakis & van Deth, 2018; Norris, 2019). Political participation is commonly categorized into conventional and non-conventional forms. Conventional participation includes voting, party membership, campaigning, and engagement with elected officials, while non-conventional participation involves demonstrations, strikes, boycotts, social movements, and online activism (Barnes & Kaase, 1979; Dalton, 2017). Both forms are integral to democratic governance, as they provide complementary channels for citizen expression and influence.

Beyond procedural engagement, political participation has normative significance. Participatory democracy theorists argue that active citizen involvement enhances political efficacy, civic competence, and democratic legitimacy (Pateman, 1970; Dryzek, 2020). Participation enables individuals to acquire political skills, develop democratic values, and cultivate a sense of collective responsibility, thereby strengthening democratic culture. Empirical studies further demonstrate that political participation improves governance quality by fostering transparency, accountability, and responsiveness (Fox, 2020; Fung, 2020). Citizen oversight mechanisms, public consultations, and participatory budgeting initiatives reduce elite capture, enhance resource allocation efficiency, and improve service delivery. These outcomes highlight political participation as both a democratic right and a strategic governance instrument.

In contemporary societies, digital technologies have transformed participation patterns. Online platforms facilitate rapid mobilization, information dissemination, and political networking, expanding engagement opportunities for youth and marginalized groups (Bennett & Segerberg, 2020). While digital participation enhances inclusiveness, it also introduces challenges related to misinformation, polarization, and political manipulation, necessitating robust regulatory and educational interventions (Tucker et al., 2020). Overall, political participation represents a multidimensional construct encompassing behavioral, cognitive, and normative components. Its effectiveness depends on institutional contexts, socio-economic conditions, and civic culture. As such, understanding political participation requires a holistic approach that integrates institutional design, social structure, and individual agency.

Sustainable Development

Sustainable development is defined as development that satisfies present needs without compromising the capacity of future generations to meet their own needs (World Commission on Environment and Development, 1987). This definition underscores intergenerational equity, environmental protection, and socio-economic balance. Contemporary frameworks, however, adopt a more comprehensive perspective that integrates economic, social, environmental, and institutional dimensions (Sachs et al., 2023; UNDP, 2022). The Sustainable Development Goals articulate a holistic vision of sustainable development encompassing poverty eradication, quality education, gender equality, decent work, reduced inequalities, climate action, and institutional integrity (United Nations, 2015). Among these goals, SDG 16 emphasizes peace, justice, and strong institutions, explicitly recognizing the importance of participatory governance, accountability, and inclusive decision-making.

Development scholars increasingly argue that sustainable development cannot be achieved solely through economic growth. Instead, it requires democratic governance, social inclusion, and political empowerment (Sen, 1999; Fukuyama, 2018). Without inclusive institutions and citizen participation, economic gains often exacerbate inequality, social fragmentation, and political

instability. Sustainable development also entails resilience and adaptability in the face of global challenges such as climate change, pandemics, economic crises, and political conflict. Participatory governance enhances societal resilience by promoting collective problem-solving, knowledge sharing, and institutional trust (Putnam, 2020; Sachs et al., 2023). Societies characterized by strong civic engagement demonstrate greater capacity to respond effectively to crises and to implement sustainable solutions.

Furthermore, sustainable development incorporates normative dimensions such as social justice, equity, and human dignity. Political participation plays a crucial role in advancing these values by empowering marginalized groups, promoting inclusive policymaking, and fostering equitable resource distribution (UNDP, 2022). Thus, sustainable development is intrinsically linked to democratic participation and civic empowerment.

Political Participation and Sustainable Development Nexus

The relationship between political participation and sustainable development is grounded in governance quality, accountability, and social inclusion. Participatory governance frameworks argue that citizen engagement enhances policy responsiveness, improves implementation effectiveness, and promotes equitable development outcomes (Fox, 2020; Mansuri & Rao, 2021). Empirical studies demonstrate that participatory budgeting initiatives significantly improve infrastructure development, health service delivery, and educational access, particularly in marginalized communities (Boulding & Wampler, 2019). Community-driven development projects foster local ownership, enhance accountability, and strengthen institutional trust, contributing to sustainable development.

Political participation also mitigates corruption and elite dominance by enabling citizen oversight and collective action (Fukuyama, 2018). Transparent governance processes reduce information asymmetry, enhance public scrutiny, and foster institutional integrity. These mechanisms are particularly vital in developing democracies where governance deficits often impede development. Moreover, participation strengthens social capital and civic norms, which are essential for collective problem-solving and sustainable development (Putnam, 2020). High levels of civic engagement promote cooperation, trust, and shared responsibility, enabling societies to address complex development challenges more effectively. Political participation operates as a critical interface between democratic governance and sustainable development, shaping institutional performance, social inclusion, and economic progress.

Theoretical Framework

Participatory Democracy Theory

Participatory Democracy Theory, articulated by Pateman (1970) and expanded by Barber (2003) and Fung (2020), argues that democracy should extend beyond periodic elections to encompass continuous citizen engagement in governance processes. The theory posits that meaningful participation enhances political efficacy, civic competence, and institutional accountability. Participatory institutions such as deliberative forums, community councils, and participatory budgeting mechanisms provide platforms for citizen input into policymaking. These mechanisms strengthen democratic legitimacy by ensuring that governance reflects public preferences and collective interests. Furthermore, participatory democracy fosters social learning, political empowerment, and civic responsibility, which are essential for democratic consolidation.

The research supports the theory's central claims, demonstrating that participatory governance improves policy quality, reduces corruption, and enhances public service delivery (Fung, 2020; Fox, 2020). By institutionalizing citizen engagement, participatory democracy strengthens accountability mechanisms and promotes sustainable development.

Capability Approach

The Capability Approach, developed by Sen (1999) and expanded by Nussbaum (2011), conceptualizes development as the expansion of individuals' freedoms, choices, and capacities. Unlike utilitarian or income-based models, this approach emphasizes human well-being, social justice, and empowerment. Political participation is viewed as both an intrinsic capability and a means

of enhancing other capabilities. Citizens' engagement in governance processes enables them to articulate needs, demand accountability, and influence development priorities. This empowerment fosters social inclusion, gender equality, and equitable resource distribution. The capability approach underscores the centrality of political freedom to sustainable development. By expanding political agency, societies enhance collective problem-solving capacity and institutional effectiveness, thereby promoting long-term development sustainability.

Justification for Theories

The integration of Participatory Democracy Theory and the Capability Approach provides a comprehensive analytical framework for examining political participation as both a democratic practice and a development mechanism. Participatory democracy emphasizes institutional design, governance processes, and accountability, while the capability approach foregrounds human empowerment, social equity, and normative development goals. Together, these theories enable a multidimensional understanding of political participation that captures its institutional, social, and individual dimensions. Their integration is particularly suitable for analysing participation–development dynamics in developing democracies, where governance deficits and social inequalities intersect. By combining institutional and human-centered perspectives, this framework facilitates holistic analysis and policy-relevant insights.

Political Participation and Good Governance

Political participation enhances governance quality by strengthening transparency, accountability, and institutional responsiveness. Participatory mechanisms such as public consultations, citizen oversight committees, and participatory budgeting promote inclusive decision-making and reduce elite dominance (Fox, 2020; Fung, 2020). These mechanisms facilitate information flow between citizens and policymakers, enabling governments to respond more effectively to public needs. Evidence indicates that participatory governance reduces corruption and improves resource allocation efficiency. Boulding and Wampler (2019) demonstrate that participatory budgeting initiatives in Latin America led to significant improvements in infrastructure development, health outcomes, and educational access. Similar findings are reported in community-driven development projects across Africa and Asia (Mansuri & Rao, 2021).

Citizen participation also enhances institutional legitimacy and trust. When citizens perceive governance processes as inclusive and transparent, they are more likely to comply with public policies and contribute to collective development efforts (Putnam, 2020). This trust-based relationship strengthens social cohesion and fosters long-term governance stability. Moreover, political participation promotes policy innovation and adaptive governance. Citizen feedback and deliberation generate diverse perspectives, enabling policymakers to design context-sensitive and sustainable interventions. In an era of complex global challenges, such participatory approaches are indispensable for resilient governance and sustainable development.

Political Participation and Social Inclusion

Political participation plays a vital role in promoting social inclusion by amplifying the voices of marginalized populations, including women, youth, ethnic minorities, and persons with disabilities. Inclusive participation ensures that development policies address diverse needs and reduce structural inequalities (UNDP, 2022; Norris, 2019). Gender inclusive political participation enhances women's representation in decision-making processes, leading to improved policy outcomes in education, health, and social welfare (World Bank, 2023). Youth participation fosters innovation, civic responsibility, and democratic renewal, while minority engagement strengthens social cohesion and conflict mitigation.

Participatory governance mechanisms such as community consultations and deliberative forums provide safe spaces for marginalized groups to articulate concerns and influence policy priorities. These platforms reduce social exclusion, enhance political efficacy, and promote equitable development. Furthermore, inclusive participation strengthens social capital and trust across diverse social groups, fostering collective identity and cooperation. Such social cohesion is essential for addressing complex development challenges and sustaining democratic governance.

Political Participation and Economic Development

Political participation contributes to economic development by enhancing policy accountability, improving public investment efficiency, and promoting inclusive growth. Participatory governance ensures that development resources align with community priorities, reducing wastage and elite capture (Heller et al., 2020). Community driven development projects demonstrate significant impacts on poverty reduction, infrastructure development, and local economic empowerment (Mansuri& Rao, 2021). By involving citizens in planning and monitoring, these initiatives enhance ownership, sustainability, and economic resilience. Moreover, political participation strengthens institutional trust, which is critical for attracting investment and promoting economic stability. Transparent and accountable governance reduces uncertainty, enhances investor confidence, and fosters sustainable economic growth.

Political Participation as a Development Mechanism

Political participation functions as a multifaceted development mechanism by fostering accountability, civic responsibility, and collective problem-solving. Participatory governance enhances public service delivery through citizen monitoring, feedback mechanisms, and collaborative planning (Fox, 2020; Mansuri& Rao, 2021). Participatory budgeting initiatives empower communities to influence resource allocation, ensuring that public investments address local priorities. Empirical studies reveal significant improvements in infrastructure quality, health outcomes, and educational access in municipalities that institutionalized participatory budgeting (Boulding & Wampler, 2019). Community monitoring programmes enable citizens to track public expenditures and service delivery, reducing corruption and enhancing institutional transparency. These mechanisms strengthen accountability and promote efficient resource utilization, thereby contributing to sustainable development.

Furthermore, political participation builds social capital, trust, and collective efficacy. Civic engagement fosters cooperation, knowledge sharing, and mutual accountability, which are essential for addressing complex development challenges (Putnam, 2020). In developing democracies, political participation also facilitates state–society synergy, enhancing institutional capacity and governance legitimacy. By bridging the gap between citizens and public institutions, participatory governance fosters inclusive development and democratic consolidation.

Findings

The synthesis of theoretical perspectives and empirical literature reveals several critical findings regarding the relationship between citizens' political participation and sustainable development in democratic societies. First, political participation significantly enhances governance quality through improved accountability, transparency, and institutional responsiveness. Participatory governance mechanisms, including public consultations, participatory budgeting, and citizen monitoring, strengthen institutional oversight and reduce opportunities for corruption and elite dominance. Empirical evidence consistently demonstrates that societies characterized by high levels of civic engagement exhibit stronger institutional performance, improved public service delivery, and increased public trust in government. These outcomes confirm that political participation functions as a vital accountability instrument that aligns public policies with citizens' needs and development priorities.

Second, political participation plays a central role in promoting social inclusion by amplifying the voices of marginalized populations, including women, youth, ethnic minorities, and economically disadvantaged groups. Inclusive political engagement enhances equitable policymaking, improves access to social services, and fosters distributive justice. Studies indicate that increased representation of women and youth in political processes contributes to improved outcomes in education, healthcare, and social welfare. By reducing structural inequalities and enhancing political efficacy, citizen participation strengthens democratic legitimacy and social cohesion, which are indispensable for sustainable development. Third, political participation contributes positively to economic development by enhancing policy accountability, improving public investment efficiency, and

strengthening institutional trust. Participatory governance ensures that public resources are allocated in accordance with community priorities, thereby reducing wastage and elite capture. Community-driven development initiatives demonstrate significant impacts on poverty reduction, infrastructure provision, and local economic empowerment. Furthermore, transparent and accountable governance structures foster investor confidence and economic stability, thereby supporting inclusive and sustainable economic growth.

Fourth, the expansion of digital participation platforms has transformed contemporary patterns of civic engagement, particularly among younger populations. Social media, online advocacy networks, and e-governance systems facilitate political mobilization, information dissemination, and citizen monitoring of public institutions. While these platforms expand participatory opportunities, they also introduce challenges related to political misinformation, polarization, and cyber manipulation. The findings suggest that the developmental benefits of digital participation depend significantly on regulatory frameworks, digital literacy, and civic education. Inclusive, the findings establish that political participation operates as both a democratic right and a strategic development mechanism. Inclusive citizen engagement strengthens governance systems, promotes social equity, and enhances economic performance, thereby serving as a foundational driver of sustainable development. However, persistent socio-economic and institutional barriers continue to constrain effective participation in many developing democracies, underscoring the urgent need for comprehensive governance reforms, sustained civic education, and inclusive political institutions.

Challenges and Future Prospects

Despite its transformative potential, political participation in developing democracies faces significant challenges. Structural inequalities, poverty, illiteracy, gender discrimination, political violence, and institutional weakness constrain citizens' capacity to engage meaningfully in governance (Bratton, 2021; Isumonah, 2020). Electoral malpractice, elite dominance, and patron-client relations undermine democratic accountability and discourage civic engagement. In many contexts, political participation is reduced to periodic voting, limiting opportunities for sustained citizen influence over policy processes.

Nevertheless, emerging trends offer promising prospects. Digital technologies, social media platforms, and civic innovation initiatives are expanding participatory spaces and mobilizing youth engagement. Civil society organizations continue to play a vital role in promoting civic education, advocacy, and policy monitoring. Decentralization reforms and participatory governance experiments provide institutional pathways for enhancing citizen involvement. Sustained investments in civic education, institutional reform, and inclusive governance are essential for overcoming participation barriers and harnessing its developmental potential.

Recommendations

1. Governments should institutionalize participatory governance mechanisms such as participatory budgeting, public hearings, and citizen advisory councils to enhance accountability and inclusiveness.
2. Civic education programs should be strengthened at all educational levels to promote democratic values, political literacy, and active citizenship.
3. Civil society organizations should be supported through legal protections, funding mechanisms, and capacity-building initiatives to expand participatory spaces.
4. Digital participation platforms should be developed to enhance transparency, facilitate citizen feedback, and promote youth engagement.
5. Gender-responsive policies should be implemented to address structural barriers limiting women's political participation.

Conclusion

Citizens' political participation constitutes a foundational pillar of democratic governance and a critical driver of sustainable development. This paper has demonstrated that inclusive political

engagement enhances governance quality, institutional legitimacy, social inclusion, and economic development. Through participatory governance mechanisms, citizens contribute to accountability, policy responsiveness, and collective problem-solving, thereby fostering equitable and sustainable development outcomes. The analysis reveals that political participation is not merely a democratic right but a strategic development instrument. Societies characterized by robust civic engagement demonstrate superior institutional performance, social cohesion, and economic resilience. Conversely, participation deficits undermine democratic legitimacy, exacerbate inequality, and weaken development effectiveness.

Addressing participation challenges requires comprehensive civic education, institutional reforms, inclusive representation, and digital governance innovations. By strengthening participatory governance, democratic societies can enhance resilience, promote social justice, and accelerate progress toward the SDGs. Ultimately, sustainable development cannot be achieved without empowering citizens as active agents of change. Deepening political participation is essential for democratic consolidation, inclusive growth, and long-term societal transformation.

Contribution to Knowledge

This paper contributes to governance and development scholarship by conceptualizing political participation as a multidimensional tool of sustainable development. By integrating Participatory Democracy Theory and the Capability Approach, it advances a holistic analytical framework that captures the institutional, social, and human dimensions of political engagement. The study extends existing literature by demonstrating that political participation functions not only as a democratic right but also as a strategic development mechanism. It provides a comprehensive synthesis of theoretical insights and empirical evidence, highlighting the transformative potential of participatory governance in developing democracies.

Furthermore, the paper offers policy-relevant insights that inform governance reforms, civic education strategies, and inclusive development interventions. By emphasizing citizen empowerment, institutional accountability, and social inclusion, the study contributes to contemporary debates on democratic consolidation and sustainable development.

References

- Acemoglu, D., & Robinson, J. A. (2019). *The narrow corridor: States, societies, and the fate of liberty*. Penguin Press.
- Afrobarometer. (2023). *Nigeria survey report: Citizens' perceptions of democracy, governance, and participation*. Afrobarometer. <https://www.afrobarometer.org>
- Akinola, S. R., & Adesopo, A. A. (2022). Governance, political participation, and democratic consolidation in Nigeria. *African Journal of Political Science and International Relations*, 16(2), 45–60. <https://doi.org/10.5897/AJPSIR2022.1374>
- Barber, B. R. (2003). *Strong democracy: Participatory politics for a new age* (20th anniversary ed.). University of California Press.
- Barnes, S. H., & Kaase, M. (1979). *Political action: Mass participation in five Western democracies*. Sage.
- Bennett, W. L., & Segerberg, A. (2020). *The logic of connective action: Digital media and the personalization of contentious politics*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108760777>
- Boulding, C., & Wampler, B. (2019). Voice, votes, and resources: Evaluating the effect of participatory democracy on well-being. *World Development*, 119, 125–137. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2019.03.014>
- Cheeseman, N., Lynch, G., & Willis, J. (2021). *The moral economy of elections in Africa: Democracy, voting and virtue*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108762085>
- Dalton, R. J. (2017). *The participation gap: Social status and political inequality*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198733607.001.0001>
- Dryzek, J. S. (2020). *Deliberative democracy and beyond: Liberals, critics, contestations* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198848189.001.0001>
- Fox, J. (2020). Contested accountability: Deepening the debate. *World Development*, 129, 104879. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2020.104879>
- Fukuyama, F. (2018). *Identity: The demand for dignity and the politics of resentment*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
- Fung, A. (2020). The principle of affected interests: An interpretation and defense. *Journal of Political Philosophy*, 28(3), 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jopp.12200>
- Heller, P., Rao, V., & Walton, M. (2020). Inequality, social accountability, and development. *World Bank Research Observer*, 35(1), 1–34. <https://doi.org/10.1093/wbro/lkz004>
- Inglehart, R., & Welzel, C. (2021). *Modernization, cultural change, and democracy: The human development sequence* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108898135>
- Loader, B. D., Vromen, A., & Xenos, M. A. (2019). Performing for the young networked citizen? *Information, Communication & Society*, 22(8), 1079–1095. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2018.1436350>
- Mansuri, G., & Rao, V. (2021). *Localizing development: Does participation work?* World Bank Publications. <https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-1585-6>
- Norris, P. (2019). *Democratic deficit: Critical citizens revisited*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108562999>
- Nussbaum, M. C. (2011). *Creating capabilities: The human development approach*. Harvard University Press.
- Pateman, C. (1970). *Participation and democratic theory*. Cambridge University Press.
- Putnam, R. D. (2020). *The upswing: How America came together a century ago and how we can do it again*. Simon & Schuster.
- Sachs, J. D., Kroll, C., Lafortune, G., Fuller, G., & Woelm, F. (2023). *Sustainable development report 2023: Implementing the SDGs*. Cambridge University Press.

- Sen, A. (1999). *Development as freedom*. Oxford University Press.
- Theocharis, Y., & van Deth, J. W. (2018). Political participation in a changing world. *Routledge Handbook of Political Participation*, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315402188>
- Tucker, J. A., Guess, A., Barberá, P., Vaccari, C., Siegel, A., Sanovich, S., Stukal, D., & Nyhan, B. (2020). Social media, political polarization, and political disinformation. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 34(4), 67–94. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.34.4.67>
- United Nations. (2015). *Transforming our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable development*. United Nations. <https://sdgs.un.org/2030agenda>
- United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). (2022). *Human development report 2021/2022: Uncertain times, unsettled lives*. UNDP. <https://hdr.undp.org>
- World Bank. (2023). *Women, business and the law 2023*. World Bank Publications. <https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-1944-1>
- World Commission on Environment and Development. (1987). *Our common future*. Oxford University Press.